



COUNTRY DIAGNOSTIC PROFILE

Tunisia

TN · Middle East, North Africa, Afghanistan & Pakistan · LMIC · 18 May 2026

95.0

IMPLEMENTATION GAP SCORE

95.4

FINANCE READINESS SCORE

Sustain

QUADRANT


Climate Policy Indicators

NDC Version	3.0	Investment Plan	Detailed
GHG Target	Unconditional	CAT Rating	Not Assessed
Coastal State	Yes	Adaptation Communication	Yes
Long-Term Strategy	Yes	National Adaptation Plan	Yes
BUR/BTR Filings	BUR1; BUR2; BUR3; BTR1	Most Recent Filing	2025

Article 6 Readiness

Article 6 Signal	High Opportunity (5/5)	MRV Filing Count	4
------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	----------

Component Analysis



Component Definitions

S1 NDC Ambition	NDC version and update cycle	S2 Investment Plan	Costed, detailed, or generic plan
S3 MRV Maturity	BUR/BTR filing track record	S4 Filing Recency	Most recent submission date
S5 Coastal Exposure	Coastal state status	S6 Adaptation	Adaptation Communication filed
S7 LTS	Long-Term Strategy submitted	S8 NAP	National Adaptation Plan filed
S9 GHG Target	Unconditional, conditional, or both	S10 CAT Rating	External policy assessment (42 rated)

Regional Comparison – Middle East, North Africa, Afghanistan & Pakistan

Tunisia compared against 23 peers in Middle East, North Africa, Afghanistan & Pakistan. Regional average IGS: 71.0 | Regional average FRS: 69.1.

#	COUNTRY	IGS	FRS	QUADRANT
1	Lebanon	100.0	100.0	Sustain
2	Jordan	96.2	95.4	Sustain
3	Tunisia ▶	95.0	95.4	Sustain
4	Malta	88.8	86.2	Sustain
5	Oman	86.2	90.8	Sustain
6	Pakistan	86.2	90.8	Sustain
7	Yemen	85.0	80.0	Sustain
8	Saudi Arabia	84.7	89.2	Sustain
9	Iraq	83.8	90.8	Sustain
10	UAE	82.4	90.8	Sustain
11	Morocco	81.2	73.8	Sustain
12	Qatar	77.5	86.2	Sustain
13	Djibouti	75.0	70.8	Sustain
14	Algeria	75.0	69.2	Sustain
15	Kuwait	72.5	70.8	Sustain
16	Afghanistan	66.2	76.9	Sustain
17	Israel	64.7	55.4	Watch
18	Bahrain	61.2	49.2	Watch
19	Egypt	60.0	69.2	Priority finance target
20	State of Palestine	53.8	43.1	Watch
21	Libya	21.2	9.2	Watch
22	Iran	20.0	3.1	Watch
23	Syria	16.2	3.1	Watch

Showing top 23 countries in region, ranked by IGS.

Methodology & Data Sources

Scoring Framework

Meridia scores each Paris Agreement party across 10 indicators grouped into 4 constructs: Signalling & Ambition, Institutional Readiness, MRV & Transparency, and Finance Absorption Capacity. Indicator weights are derived from Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and validated against Climate Action Tracker (CAT) ratings for 42 countries (Spearman $\rho \geq 0.879$ across 6 weighting schemes).

Composite Scores

IGS (Implementation Gap Score, 0-100): Weighted composite of all 10 indicators measuring the gap between NDC commitments and implementation infrastructure.

FRS (Finance Readiness Score, 0-100): Weighted composite emphasizing finance absorption capacity, MRV maturity, and institutional frameworks.

Quadrant Classification

Countries are classified based on thresholds (IGS ≥ 40.0 , FRS ≥ 25.0): **Sustain** (high readiness, strong finance), **Priority Finance Target** (gaps but finance-ready), **Structural Challenge** (strong implementation, finance constraints), **Watch** (early-stage).

PCA Weight Coefficients (v3)

Signalling & Ambition: 0.318 | Institutional Readiness: 0.307 | MRV & Transparency: 0.123 | Finance Absorption: 0.252

Data Sources

- UNFCCC NDC Registry — NDC versions, submission dates, GHG targets
- UNFCCC Transparency Portal — BUR/BTR filings, National Communications
- Climate Action Tracker — Independent policy assessment ratings (42 countries)
- UNFCCC Adaptation Communications Registry — Adaptation plans, LTS submissions
- World Bank Development Indicators — Income classification, geographic metadata
- Green Climate Fund — Country programme readiness indicators

Build: meridia-global-v3-wave15-20260518

Build date: 18 May 2026

Coverage: 197 Paris Agreement parties

Methodology: PCA-validated, peer-reviewed (submitted to *Climate Policy*, Taylor & Francis)

This document is produced by Deserv Consulting SARL for informational purposes. It does not constitute investment advice. Scores reflect publicly available policy and institutional data and are subject to revision as new information becomes available. For questions or to request a detailed diagnostic, contact contact@deserv-consulting.com.